If one does not have 'sufficient evidence' to support the truth of a belief in the 'Perfect Being' God, what must one do? -- If there is 'significant evidence' that this God does not exist, what must one do?

- *Skeptical Non-Theism ('Agnosticism') asserts that one must 'suspend judgment' and one should <u>not 'believe'</u> (affirm, and act on the basis of the affirmation, that God exists.)
 - Therefore, apparently, one must live "as if" there is no God.
- *"Progressive" theists sometimes redefine 'God' so that God may be believed in such a way that does not contradict evidence, and would be consistent with at least some evidence.
- *'Fideists' go ahead and believe in God irrespective of the lack of sufficient evidence or the existence of significant evidence that God does not exist.

But **William James**, in his classic essay **"The Will to Believe,"** held that it is **sometimes rationally permissible** (as well as personally desirable) to believe in something **because you want to / choose to do so - based upon one's "passional nature."**

His requirements for such "willed beliefs" are:

- It must be an issue where reason cannot decide one way or the other. Where there are good reasons / evidence both against and for acceptance of a belief. And for and against its denial.
 = an 'Ambiguous' Issue
- 2. Belief must be a "**Live Option."** It must be something that is psychologically possible for a person to believe.
- 3. Belief must be a **"Forced Option."** The circumstances must require that one make / live a choice one way or the other.
- 4. Belief must be a "Momentous Option." The belief, or lack of belief, must be of great importance not a trivial issue.

James held that for <u>some</u> people belief in God is a live, forced, and momentous issue and that they have <u>the right</u> to believe / go on believing in God <u>even though</u> there is 'insufficient evidence.'

But, what James termed "willed belief" would be better termed "willed-hope."

Action / living life based upon hope (living "as-if" that which is judged "possibly true" is actually the case) could be **practically indistinguishable from action/ life lived upon belief** (living "as-if" that which is judged "probably true" is actually the case.) This stance would constitute **'Skeptical Theism.'**