

Fairness Criteria for Determining a Winner in an Election:




The Majority Criterion:

If a candidate receives a majority of first-place votes, then that candidate should win the election.

Example:

Number of votes	27	24	2
1 st	A	B	C
2 nd	C	C	B
3 rd	B	A	A



Don't Blame Me,
I Voted with
The Majority



Find the Borda count winner:

Candidate	3 rd –place points	2 nd –place points	1 st –place points	Total
A	$26 \cdot 1 =$	$0 \cdot 2 =$	$27 \cdot 3 =$	
B	$27 \cdot 1 =$	$2 \cdot 2 =$	$24 \cdot 3 =$	
C	$0 \cdot 1 =$	$51 \cdot 2 =$	$2 \cdot 3 =$	

Which candidate received a majority of first-place votes?

In this election, did the Borda count method satisfy or violate the majority criterion?

Conclusion about the Borda count method:



The Head-to-Head Criterion:

If one candidate is favored over all the other candidates in head-to-head comparisons, then that candidate should win the election.

Example:

Number of votes	20	19	5
1st	A	B	C
2nd	B	C	B
3rd	C	A	A



Find the plurality winner.

Head-to-head comparison	Result
A vs. B	B is preferred.
A vs. C	C is preferred.
B vs. C	B is preferred.

Which candidate is preferred over all the other candidates?

In this election, did the plurality method satisfy or violate the head-to-head criterion?

Conclusion about the plurality method:



The Monotonicity Criterion:


If a candidate wins an election, and in a re-election, the only changes are changes that favor that candidate, then that candidate should win the re-election.

Examples:

1.

Number of votes	14	12	10	6
1 st	C	B	A	A
2 nd	A	C	B	C
3 rd	B	A	C	B

Find the plurality-with-elimination winner.



It was determined that an error occurred in the voting process, and the 6 ballots for the order A,C,B should have been for C,A,B. This is a change that favors candidate C.

Number of votes	20	12	10
1st	C	B	A
2nd	A	C	B
3rd	B	A	C

Find the plurality-with-elimination winner of the re-election.

In this case, did the plurality-with-elimination method satisfy or violate the monotonicity criterion?

Conclusion about the plurality-with-elimination method:



not
voting



voting

2.

Number of Votes	50	40	20	5
1 st choice	A	C	C	B
2 nd choice	B	A	B	C
3 rd choice	C	B	A	A

Candidate	1 st place count	2 nd place count	3 rd place count	Borda count
A	$3 \cdot 50 = 150$	$2 \cdot 40 = 80$	$1 \cdot 25 = 25$	255
B	$3 \cdot 5 = 15$	$2 \cdot 70 = 140$	$1 \cdot 40 = 40$	195
C	$3 \cdot 60 = 180$	$2 \cdot 5 = 10$	$1 \cdot 50 = 50$	240

So the Borda count winner is _____.

The 5 ballots for the order B,C,A, are changed to the order A,B,C, resulting in the following new preference table for the re-election(*This change favors candidate A.*)

Number of Votes	55	40	20
1 st choice	A	C	C
2 nd choice	B	A	B
3 rd choice	C	B	A

And the new Borda counts are in the table below.

Candidate	1 st place count	2 nd place count	3 rd place count	Borda count
A	$3 \cdot 55 = 165$	$2 \cdot 40 = 80$	$1 \cdot 20 = 20$	265
B	$3 \cdot 0 = 0$	$2 \cdot 75 = 150$	$1 \cdot 40 = 40$	190
C	$3 \cdot 60 = 180$	$2 \cdot 0 = 0$	$1 \cdot 55 = 55$	235

So the Borda Count winner of the re-election is _____ .

In this case, did the Borda count method satisfy or violate the monotonicity criterion?
Explain.

The Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion:




If a candidate wins an election, and in a re-election, the only change is that one or more of the other candidates are removed from the ballot, then that same candidate should win the re-election.

Example:

Number of votes	7	6	2
1 st	A	B	C
2 nd	B	C	B
3 rd	C	A	A

Find the plurality winner.



Suppose that candidate C shouldn't have been on the ballot.

Number of votes	7	6	2
1st	A	B	B
2nd	B	A	A

Find the plurality winner of the re-election.

In this case, did the plurality method satisfy or violate the irrelevant alternatives criterion?

Conclusion about the plurality method:

A procedure for determining a winner in an election is considered fair if it is impossible for it to violate any of the four fairness criteria.

In 1951, economist Kenneth Arrow proved the following result(Nobel Prize 1972):

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem:



It is impossible for any democratic voting procedure to always satisfy the four fairness criteria.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem

- Named after Kenneth Arrow, an American economist
- Essentially, the theorem states that there is no perfect voting method
- It doesn't just say that we haven't thought of a perfect system yet; it says that we can never create one